

REMARKS

This Amendment is in response to the Decision on Appeal dated May 11, 2007.

Claim 1-3, 5-7, 13, 14, 18, 20 and 24

The rejection of claims 1-3, 5-7, 13, 14, 18, 20 and 24 under 35 USC §102 were reversed by the Board. Decision, pg. 7.

The Board pointed out that claims 5, 13 and 14 lack antecedent basis for "the selection of a function execution request object." Decision, fn. 4. In response, claims 5, 13 and 14 are amended herein to provide antecedent basis for a selection of a function execution request object.

Claim 4 and 8-10

The rejection of claims 4 and 8-10 under 35 USC §103 were reversed by the Board. Decision, pg. 8.

Claim 11

The rejection of claim 11 under 35 USC §103 was affirmed by the Board. Decision, pg. 9. By this amendment, claim 11 is amended to recite patentable subject matter, similar to the subject matter recited in claims 1, 5, 13 and 14.

Claim 12, 15, 16, 22, 25 and 28

Claims 12, 15, 16, 22, 25 and 28 were newly rejected by the Board under 35 USC §112. Decision, pg. 10. By this amendment, claims 12, 15, 16, 22, 25 and 28 are canceled. Thus, the rejections are moot.

CONCLUSION

In view of the forgoing remarks, it is respectfully submitted that this case is now in condition for allowance and such action is respectfully requested. If any points remain at issue that the Examiner feels could best be resolved by a telephone interview, the Examiner is urged to contact the attorney below.

No fee is believed due with this Amendment, however, should a fee be required please charge Deposit Account 50-0510. Should any

extensions of time be required, please consider this a petition thereof and charge Deposit Account 50-0510 the required fee.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: July 10, 2007

/ido tuchman/

Ido Tuchman, Reg. No. 45,924
Law Office of Ido Tuchman
82-70 Beverly Road
Kew Gardens, NY 11415
Telephone (718) 544-1110
Facsimile (866) 607-8538